

# A counterexample to a conjecture on flat bilinear forms

Marcos Dajczer & Luis A. Florit

**Abstract.** We provide a counterexample to a conjecture on the dimension of the nullity of a flat symmetric bilinear form.

The algebraic theory of flat bilinear forms was developed by J. D. Moore after the seminal work of E. Cartan on exteriorly orthogonal quadratic forms as a tool to treat the “rigidity problem” for submanifolds; see [5] and references therein. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form  $\beta: \mathbb{V}^n \times \mathbb{V}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^{p,q}$  into a vector space endowed with an indefinite inner product of type  $(p, q)$  is said to be *flat* if

$$\langle \beta(X, Y), \beta(Z, W) \rangle - \langle \beta(X, W), \beta(Z, Y) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbb{V}^n.$$

One main goal of the theory is to estimate the dimension of the nullity space

$$N(\beta) = \{X \in \mathbb{V}^n : \beta(X, Y) = 0 : Y \in \mathbb{V}^n\}$$

of a given  $\beta$  that is assumed to be onto, that is,  $\mathbb{W}^{p,q} = \text{span}\{\beta(X, Y) : X, Y \in \mathbb{V}^n\}$ .

In [1] the following result for a symmetric bilinear form was proved.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\beta: \mathbb{V}^n \times \mathbb{V}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^{q,q}$  be a flat symmetric bilinear form. If  $q \leq 5$  and  $\beta$  is onto then  $\dim N(\beta) \geq n - 2q$ .*

A proof of the preceding result for  $q = 2$  is contained in the argument by Cartan in [2]. It was conjectured around 1984 by the first author of this paper that the same estimate holds for arbitrary dimension  $q$ . A positive answer to the conjecture would have important consequences. For instance, the isometric and conformal rigidity results in [1] would hold after dropping the restriction on the codimension. Moreover, an extension of the results in [3] and [4] for arbitrary codimension with the same bounds would be possible. However, we give next a counterexample that shows that the conjecture is already false for  $q = 6$  and that there is no linear estimate.

**Theorem 2.** *For a given  $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\tau \geq 3$  set  $2p = \tau(\tau + 1)$ . Then, there is an onto flat symmetric bilinear form  $\beta: \mathbb{V}^n \times \mathbb{V}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{W}^{p,p}$  such that  $\dim N(\beta) = n - 2p - \binom{\tau}{3}$ .*

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53B25.

*Key words and phrases.* symmetric flat bilinear form, nullity.

*Proof:* Denote  $L = \{1, 2, \dots, \tau\}$ ,  $I = (L \times L)/S(2)$  and  $J = (L \times L \times L)/S(3)$ , where  $S(n)$  is the group of permutations of  $n$  elements. Then,  $\#I = p$  and  $\#J = m := \binom{\tau+2}{3}$ . For  $a \in L$ ,  $k = [(i, j)] \in I$  and  $s = [(u, v, w)] \in J$ , we say that  $a \in s$  if  $a \in \{u, v, w\}$ , and define  $*$  :  $L \times I \rightarrow J$  by  $a * k = [(a, i, j)]$ . Then either  $a \notin s$  or there is a unique  $k \in I$  such that  $a * k = s$ .

Let  $\mathbb{V}^n = \mathbb{R}^\tau \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$ , and take bases  $\{y_1, \dots, y_\tau\}$  and  $\{n_s : s \in J\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\tau$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively. Let  $B_1 = \{e_r : r \in I\}$  and  $B_2 = \{\hat{e}_r : r \in I\}$  be two basis of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and consider on  $\mathbb{W}^{p,p} = \mathbb{R}^{2p}$  the metric of type  $(p, p)$  given by  $\langle e_r, e_s \rangle = \langle \hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_s \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle e_r, \hat{e}_s \rangle = \delta_{r,s}$  for all  $r, s \in I$ . The (ordered) union of the bases  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  is called a pseudo-orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{W}^{p,p}$ . Define a symmetric bilinear map  $\beta$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(n_s, n_r) &= 0, & r, s \in J, \\ \beta(y_i, y_j) &= \hat{e}_{[(i,j)]}, & i, j \in L, \\ \beta(y_i, n_s) &= 0, & \text{if } i \notin s, \\ \beta(y_i, n_s) &= e_k, & \text{if } i \in s \text{ and } i * k = s. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that  $N(\beta) = 0$ , take  $x = y + n \in N(\beta)$  with  $y = \sum_{j=1}^\tau a_j y_j$  and  $n = \sum_{s \in J} b_s n_s$ . Then  $a_i = \langle \beta(x, y_i), e_{[(i,i)]} \rangle = 0$ , and  $b_s = \langle \beta(x, y_u), \hat{e}_{[(u,w)]} \rangle = 0$  for  $s = [(u, v, w)]$ . To see that  $\beta$  is flat just observe that  $\langle \beta(y_i, y_j), \beta(y_t, n_s) \rangle = \delta_{s, [(i,j,t)]}$  is symmetric in  $i, j, t \in L$ . ■

## References

- [1] M. do Carmo and M. Dajczer, *Conformal Rigidity*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), 963–985.
- [2] E. Cartan, *La déformation des hypersurfaces dans l'espace conforme réel a  $n \geq 5$  dimensions*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **45** (1917), 57–121.
- [3] M. Dajczer and L. A. Florit, *Compositions of isometric immersions in higher codimension*, Manuscripta Math. **105** (2001), 507–517. *Erratum*, Manuscripta Math. To appear.
- [4] M. Dajczer and R. Tojeiro, *A rigidity theorem for conformal immersions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46** (1997), 491–504.
- [5] J. D. Moore, *Submanifolds of constant positive curvature I*, Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), 449–484.

IMPA – Estrada Dona Castorina, 110  
 22460-320 — Rio de Janeiro — Brazil  
 marcos@impa.br — luis@impa.br