2D COMPUTER GRAPHICS

Diego Nehab Summer 2019

IMPA

ABSTRACT SEGMENTS

The bounding box for monotonic segments is tight

The bounding box for monotonic segments is tight Outside the bounding box, the problem is solved The bounding box for monotonic segments is tight Outside the bounding box, the problem is solved Inside bounding box, we used an implicit test The bounding box for monotonic segments is tight Outside the bounding box, the problem is solved Inside bounding box, we used an implicit test Works for linear segments The bounding box for monotonic segments is tight Outside the bounding box, the problem is solved Inside bounding box, we used an implicit test Works for linear segments

Can we do the same for quadratics and cubics?

Our segment is local to $t \in [0, 1]$, but the implicit is global

Our segment is local to $t \in [0, 1]$, but the implicit is global

Must prove the curve does not reenter the bounding box for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$

- Our segment is local to $t \in [0, 1]$, but the implicit is global
- Must prove the curve does not reenter the bounding box for $t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [0, 1]$ Works for linear

- Our segment is local to $t \in [0, 1]$, but the implicit is global
- Must prove the curve does not reenter the bounding box for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$ Works for linear
- Fails for quadratics and for cubics

Can we find a region where the implicit test is enough?

Can we find a region where the implicit test is enough? The quadratic case Can we find a region where the implicit test is enough?

The quadratic case

The cubic case

References

- F. Ganacim, R. S. Lima, L. H. de Figueiredo, and D. Nehab. Massively-parallel vector graphics. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* (*Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Asia 2014*), 36(6):229, 2014.
- C. Loop and J. F. Blinn. Resolution independent curve rendering using programmable graphics hardware. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* (*Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH 2005*), 24(3):1000–1009, 2005.