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Motivation

One knows how to infer the local volatility function from the implied volatility surface (Dupire, 1994):

$$\sigma(T, K)^2 = \frac{\frac{\partial C}{\partial T}}{\frac{1}{2}K^2 \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2}}$$

How to invert this formula?

- Classical solution: solve numerically the forward equation for call prices.
- Alternative solutions?
A link between spot volatilities and implied volatilities

- Let $\sigma_t$ be the spot volatility of the $T$-forward price $f_{tT}$ of some asset $S_t$:
  \[ df_{tT} = \sigma_t f_{tT} dW^T_t \]

- **No assumption is made on** $\sigma_t$: it is a general stochastic process. $W^T$ is a $(\mathcal{F}_t)$-Brownian motion under the $T$-forward measure $\mathbb{P}^T$, where $(\mathcal{F}_t)$ denotes the filtration generated by the processes $\sigma_t$ and $f_{tT}$.

- Let $B$ denote the Black price:
  \[
  B(t, f; \sigma) = fN \left( d_+ \left( f, \sigma^2(T - t), K \right) \right) - K N \left( d_- \left( f, \sigma^2(T - t), K \right) \right)
  \]
  \[
  d_\pm (f, v, K) = \frac{\ln f/K}{\sqrt{v}} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{v}
  \]
A link between spot volatilities and implied volatilities

\[ (S_T - K)_+ = (f_{TT} - K)_+ = B(T, f_{TT}; \sigma) \] for any \( \sigma \), so by Itô’s formula,

\[ \mathbb{E}^T [(S_T - K)_+] - B(0, f_0T; \sigma) = \mathbb{E}^T \left[ \int_0^T \left( \partial_t B + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 f_{TT}^2 \partial_f^2 B \right) (t, f_{TT}; \sigma) dt \right] \]

Besides, \( \partial_t B + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 f^2 \partial_f^2 B = 0 \).

\[ \Rightarrow \sigma_{BS}(T, K) \] is the value of the constant parameter \( \sigma \) such that

\[ \mathbb{E}^T \left[ \int_0^T (\sigma_t^2 - \sigma^2) f_{TT}^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{TT}; \sigma) dt \right] = 0 \quad (1) \]

The time integral is the P&L generated between inception and maturity by a delta-hedged position using constant volatility \( \sigma \).

\[ \Rightarrow \sigma_{BS}(T, K) \] obeys the self-consistence equation:\(^1\)

\[ \sigma_{BS}(T, K)^2 = \frac{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \int_0^T \sigma_t^2 f_{TT}^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{TT}; \sigma_{BS}(T, K)) dt \right]}{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \int_0^T f_{TT}^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{TT}; \sigma_{BS}(T, K)) dt \right]} \quad (2) \]

\(^1\)As far as we know, this representation of implied volatility was first used by Dupire in the late 1990’s [2].
Comparison with Gatheral's formula

Gatheral [3] states that

\[
\sigma_{BS}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_t^2 f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t, f_{tT}) \right]}{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t, f_{tT}) \right]} dt
\]

\(B^v\) slightly differs from \(B\): it is the Black price of the call option with time-dependent deterministic volatility \(\sqrt{v(t)}\), where \(v\) is the so-called “forward implied variance” function, implicitly defined by

\[
v(t) = \frac{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_t^2 f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t, f_{tT}) \right]}{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t, f_{tT}) \right]}
\]
Both expressions for $\sigma_{BS}(T, K)$ can be seen as special cases of a more general result: For any positive function $w(t)$,

$$\sigma_{BS}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T w(t) dt \iff \int_0^T \mathbb{E}^T \left[ (\sigma_t^2 - w(t)) f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B^w(t, f_{tT}) \right] dt = 0$$

- The implied forward variance $v$ is the only function $w$ such that, the time integrand on the r.h.s. is zero for each time slice $t$.
- The implied volatility $\sigma_{BS}(T, K)$ is the only constant function $w$ such that the equation holds.
Our general strategy for estimating implied volatilities

Let $\sigma^2_{\text{loc}}(t, f_{tT}) = E_T \left[ \sigma^2_t | f_{tT} \right]$ be the local variance of the forward.

Since

$$E_T \left[ \sigma^2_t f^2_{tT} \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}; \sigma) \right] = E_T \left[ \sigma^2_{\text{loc}}(t, f_{tT}) f^2_{tT} \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}; \sigma) \right]$$

one can replace $\sigma_t$ by $\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_{tT})$ in (1)-(2).

We will build estimates of the implied volatility by estimating the **fixed point** of the mapping

$$\sigma \mapsto \sqrt{\frac{E_T \left[ \int_0^T \sigma^2_{\text{loc}}(t, f_{tT}) f^2_{tT} \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}; \sigma) dt \right]}{E_T \left[ \int_0^T f^2_{tT} \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}; \sigma) dt \right]}} = \sqrt{\int_0^T \int_0^\infty \sigma^2_{\text{loc}}(t, f) q_\sigma(t, f) \, dt \, df}$$

$$q_\sigma(t, f) = \frac{f^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f; \sigma) p(t, f)}{\int_0^T \int_0^\infty f'^2 \partial_f^2 B(t', f'; \sigma) p(t', f') \, df' \, dt'}$$
Note that

\[ f^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f; \sigma)p(t, f) = \frac{f}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi(T-t)}} \exp\left( -\frac{d_+(f, \sigma^2(T-t), K)^2}{2} \right) p(t, f). \]

\( p(t, f) \), hence \( q_\sigma(t, f) \), is not known explicitly.

Our suggestion: **approximate the fixed point using an estimate \( \hat{p}(t, f) \) of \( p(t, f) \).**

- Of course, \( \hat{p}(t, f) \) also leads to an estimate of the forward price of the call option, by numerical integration of the payoff against \( \hat{p}(t, f) \).
- But our method proves much more accurate, as we directly average \( \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2 \), rather than the payoff.
**Figure:** Graph of $q_\sigma(t, f)$ when the forward is lognormal; $\sigma = 30\%$, $f_0 = 100$, $K = 80$, $T = 1$. 
Several authors have already suggested estimators of the implied volatility based on approximations of $q_\sigma(t, f)$.

- $p(0, f) = \delta_{f_0}(f)$ and $\partial^2 f B(T, f; \sigma) = \delta_K(f)$, $q_\sigma(t, f)$

⇒ **Double Dirac Property**: $q_\sigma(t, f)$ reduces to a multiple of a Dirac mass at $f = f_0$ when $t = 0$, and to a multiple of a Dirac mass at $f = K$ when $t = T$.

- Inspired by this property, Gatheral [3], then Reghai [6] have suggested approximating $q_\sigma(t, f)$ by $\hat{q}_\sigma^{\text{EP}}(t, f) = \frac{1}{T} \delta_{\text{EP}(t)}(f)$ where $\delta_{\text{EP}(t)}$ is a Dirac mass that singles out one particular **effective path** $\text{EP}(t)$ with fixed end points $f_0$ at $t = 0$ and $K$ at $t = T$.

- The authors call this approximation the “most likely path” technique.
The effective path estimator

Examples of effective paths

- Common examples are

\[ EP(t) = f_0 + \frac{t}{T}(K - f_0), \quad EP(t) = f_0 \exp \left( \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right) \]

- Alternatively, one may use

\[
\begin{align*}
EP(t) &= f_0 \exp \left( \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} + \frac{1}{2} \nu(T) \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \right) \right) \\
\nu(t) &= \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds, \quad \sigma(t) = \sigma_{loc}(t, EP(t))
\end{align*}
\]

\[ EP(t) \] is the expected value of a lognormal forward \( \bar{f}_t \) having volatility \( \sigma_{loc}(t, EP(t)) \), given \( \bar{f}_T = K \):

\[ EP(t) = \mathbb{E}^T [\bar{f}_t \mid \bar{f}_T = K], \quad d\bar{f}_t = \sigma_{loc}(t, EP(t)) \bar{f}_t dW_t^T \]

One can build this effective path using a fixed point algorithm. Very similar to Reghai.
Properties of the effective path approximation

- The estimated density $\hat{q}_{\sigma}^{EP}(t, f)$ does not depend on $\sigma$.
- The estimated implied volatility squared reads explicitly

$$\hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{EP}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sigma_{loc}^2(t, EP(t)) \, dt$$

- One estimates the implied volatility squared by the time average of the square of the local volatility of the forward, taken at some effective path.
- The average of the local volatility over all possible values for the forward has been purely and simply replaced by the value of the local volatility at some effective path.
A first drawback. Imagine that for each time $t$ the local volatility is U-shaped with a minimum at $f = f_0$, and that $K = f_0$. For the usual choices (2), the effective path is constant at $f_0$, so $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{EP}}^2(T,f_0)\sqrt{T}$ is just the time average of $\sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t,f_0)$, the minimum value of $\sigma_{\text{loc}}^2$ at time $t$. Hence

$$\sigma_{\text{BS}}^2(T,f_0) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t,f_tT) f_tT \partial_f^2 B^v(t,f_tT) \right]}{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ f_tT \partial_f^2 B^v(t,f_tT) \right]} dt$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \frac{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t,f_0) f_tT \partial_f^2 B^v(t,f_tT) \right]}{\mathbb{E}^T \left[ f_tT \partial_f^2 B^v(t,f_tT) \right]} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t,f_0) \, dt = \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^2(T,f_0)^2$$

$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^2(T,f_0)$ surely underestimates $\sigma_{\text{BS}}^2(T,f_0)$. 
Some drawbacks of the effective path estimator

A second drawback. Assume $\text{EP}(t) = f_0 \exp \left( \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right)$:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{EP}}^2(T, K) = \int_0^1 \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2 \left( uT, f_0 \exp \left( u \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right) \right) \, du$$

$\Rightarrow$ Under a mild domination assumption:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^2(0, K) = \int_0^1 \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2 \left( 0, f_0 \exp \left( u \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right) \right) \, du$$

This is not in line with Berestycki-Busca-Florent:

$$\sigma_{\text{BS}}(0, K)^{-1} = \int_0^1 \sigma_{\text{loc}}^{-1} \left( 0, f_0 \exp \left( u \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right) \right) \, du$$
In [6], Reghai suggests another heuristic approximation for the implied volatility (CD stands for conditional distribution):

$$\sigma_{\text{BS}}(T, K)^2 \simeq \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^\text{CD}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t, f_{tT}) \mid f_{T T} = K \right] \, dt$$

This boils down to estimating $q_\sigma(t, f)$ by $\frac{1}{T}$ times the pdf of $f_{tT}$ conditional on $f_{TT} = K$ under $\mathbb{P}^T$:

$$\hat{q}^\text{CD}(t, f) = \frac{1}{T} p(t, f \mid f_{TT} = K)$$

Computation of $\hat{q}^\text{CD}$ cannot be performed exactly. We suggest to use

$$\text{Law} \left( f_{tT} \mid f_{TT} = K \right) \simeq \text{Law} \left( \tilde{f}_t \mid \tilde{f}_T = K \right) = \text{Law} \left( f_0 \exp \left( G_t \right) \right)$$

where $\text{Law}(G_t) = \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \ln \frac{K}{f_0}, \nu(T) \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \left( 1 - \frac{\nu(t)}{\nu(T)} \right) \right)$. One can then approximate $\mathbb{E}^T \left[ \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t, f_{tT}) \mid f_{T T} = K \right]$ thanks to a Hermite quadrature.
Our new estimates of implied volatilities

- $\hat{q}^{\text{CD}}$ is a very natural candidate among all the functions satisfying the Double Dirac Property.
- However, this property is stable by multiplication.
- It is not clear why $\hat{q}^{\text{CD}}$ would be a good proxy for $q$, in the sense that it would produce a good proxy for the implied volatility.

⇒ We will build two new estimates $\hat{q}_\sigma^{\text{LN}}(t, f)$ and $\hat{q}_\sigma^{\text{HKE}}(t, f)$ of

$$q_\sigma(t, f) = \frac{f^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f; \sigma) p(t, f)}{\int_0^T \int_0^\infty f'^2 \partial_f^2 B(t', f'; \sigma) p(t', f') df' dt'}$$

(3)

based on estimations of the pdf $p(t, f)$ of $f_{tT}$.

- In the first estimate, we replace $p(t, f)$ by a lognormal density.
- In the second one, we replace $p(t, f)$ by its heat kernel expansion.
The lognormal estimator

- The estimate $\hat{q}_\sigma^{LN}$ is defined by (3), where $p(t, f)$ is simply replaced by a lognormal density

$$\hat{p}^{LN}(t, f) = \frac{1}{f \sqrt{2\pi v_t^{LN}}} \exp\left(-\frac{d_+(f, v_t^{LN}, f_0)^2}{2}\right)$$

where

$$v_t^{LN} = \int_0^t \sigma^{LN}(u)^2 du$$

for some deterministic function of time $\sigma^{LN}(t)$, the Black volatility.

- If the forward has volatility $\sigma^{LN}(t)$, $\hat{p}^{LN}(t, f) = p(t, f)$, $\hat{q}_\sigma^{LN} = q_\sigma$ (and $\sigma^{2}_{BS}(T, K)$ is simply $v_T^{LN}/T$, independently of $K$).

- Provided $\sigma_{loc}(t, f)$ has little dependence on $f$, it can be well approximated by some $\sigma^{LN}(t)$ and we expect $\hat{\sigma}^{LN}_{BS}(T, K) \simeq \sigma_{BS}(T, K)$. 
The lognormal estimator

Some straightforward computations lead to

\[ \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2 = \frac{\int_0^T a(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{loc}^2(t, f^{LN}(t, z)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) dz \, dt}{\int_0^T a(t) \, dt} \]

where

\[ f^{LN}(t, z) = f_0 \exp\left(\frac{\nu_t^{LN}}{\nu_t^{LN} + \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2(T-t)} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} + \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t^{LN} \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K) \sqrt{T-t}}}{\sqrt{\nu_t^{LN} + \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2(T-t)}} z \right) \]

\[ a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_t^{LN} + \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2(T-t)}} \exp\left(-d_+\left(f_0, \nu_t^{LN} + \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2(T-t), K^2\right) \right) \]
The lognormal estimator

- One has

\[ a(t) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{f_0} \mathbb{E}^T \left[ \left( f_{tT}^{LN} \right)^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}^{LN}; \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)) \right] \]

where \( f_{tT}^{LN} \) has lognormal dynamics \( df_{tT}^{LN} = \sigma_{LN}^{LN}(t) f_{tT}^{LN} dW_t \).

- Ex: pick \( \sigma_{LN}^{LN}(t) = \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K) \). Then \( \left( f_{tT}^{LN} \right)^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}^{LN}; \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)) \) is the Black-Scholes Gamma notional \( \Gamma S^2 \), it is a \( \mathbb{P}^T \)-martingale, \( a(t) \) does not depend on \( t \) and

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{loc}^2 \left( t, f_0 \exp \left( \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} + \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K) \sqrt{T} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right) z \right) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{z^2}{2} \right) dz \ dt.
\]

- This is exactly what one would get at first order in \( \delta \sigma_{loc} \) when one expands the local volatility around some constant value \( \bar{\sigma} \), provided that in the r.h.s. \( \hat{\sigma}_{BS}^{LN}(T, K) \) is replaced by \( \bar{\sigma} \).
Equation (2) improves the effective path estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^2(T, K)^2$: **we do not only integrate $\sigma_{\text{loc}}^2$ along the effective path**

$$\text{EP}^{\text{LN}}(t) = f^{\text{LN}}(t, 0) = f_0 \exp \left( \frac{v^{\text{LN}}_t}{v^{\text{LN}}_t + \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{LN}}(T, K)^2(T-t) \ln \frac{K}{f_0}} \right)$$

but also around it.

The fluctuation around $\text{EP}^{\text{LN}}(t)$ is given by a (time-changed) Brownian bridge. It is **Gaussian**, with standard deviation

$$\text{STDEV}^{\text{LN}}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{v^{\text{LN}}_t \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{LN}}(T, K) \sqrt{(T-t)}}}{\sqrt{v^{\text{LN}}_t + \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{LN}}(T, K)^2(T-t)}}$$

In the effective path estimator, this standard deviation is taken to be zero, or, equivalently, the Gaussian pdf $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} z^2 \right)$ is replaced by a Dirac mass at point $z = 0$. 
The lognormal estimator

- We do not give the same weight $dt/T$ to each infinitesimal interval $[t, t + dt]$.
- Rather, we attach a varying weight $a(t)dt$ which is an estimate of $\mathbb{E}^T \left[ f_{tT}^2 \partial_f^2 B(t, f_{tT}; \sigma_{BS}(T, K)) \right] dt$, and depends on the particular function $\sigma_{LN}(t)$ chosen.
- Because of the shape of $q_\sigma(t, f)$, a natural choice for $\sigma_{LN}(t)$ is $\sigma_{loc}(t, EP(t))$ for some convenient effective path.
The Heat Kernel Expansion estimator

The estimate $\hat{q}^\text{HKE}_\sigma$ is defined by (3), where $p(t, f)$ is replaced by its expansion at first order in the potential $Q$, the so-called heat kernel expansion

$$\hat{p}^\text{HKE}(t, f) = \frac{1}{f} \frac{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0)^{1/2}}{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f)^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{f_0}{f}} e^{\int_{f_0}^{f} \frac{df'}{C(t, f')} \int_{f_0}^{f} \partial_t \frac{1}{C(t, f'') df''} P_0(t, s)} \left(1 + tQ(t, s) + \cdots\right)$$

$$C(t, f) = f\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f), \quad s = \int_{f_0}^{f} \frac{df'}{C(t, f')}$$

$$P_0(t, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{s^2}{2t}\right)$$

$$Q(t, s) = \int_0^1 du \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz Q\left(ut, us + \sqrt{t}\sqrt{u(1-u)}z\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} z^2\right)$$

$$b(t, s) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_s b + b^2\right)(t, s) - \int_0^s \partial_t b(t, s')ds'$$
After some calculations, we get:

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{HKE}}(T, K)^2 = \frac{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^x(t, z))^{1/2} w(t, z) dz dt}{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^x(t, z))^{-3/2} w(t, z) dz dt}
$$

with

$$
w(t, z) = \pi(t, x(t, z)) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} z^2 \right) \left( 1 + t \overline{Q} \left( t, \int_0^{x(t, z)} \frac{dv}{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^v)} \right) \right)
$$

$$
x(t, z) = \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} + \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{HKE}}(T, K) \sqrt{T} \sqrt{\frac{t}{T} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} \right)} z
$$

$$
\pi(t, x) = \sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0)^{1/2} \exp \left( \lambda(t, x) - \mu(t, x)/2 \right)
$$

$$
\lambda(t, x) = \int_0^x \frac{dv}{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^v)} \int_0^v \frac{dw}{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^w)}
$$

$$
\mu(t, x) = -\frac{1}{4} \hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{HKE}}(T, K)^2 t + \frac{1}{t} \left( \varepsilon(t, x)^2 + \frac{2x \varepsilon(t, x)}{\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{HKE}}(T, K)} \right)
$$

$$
\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^x \frac{dv}{\sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f_0 e^v)} - \frac{x}{\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}^{\text{HKE}}(T, K)}
$$
Similarly to the log-normal estimator, we do not only integrate the local volatility along the effective path

\[ \text{EP}^{HKE}(t) = f_0 \exp(x(t, 0)) = f_0 \exp \left( \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right) \]

but also around it.

The fluctuation around \( \text{EP}^{HKE}(t) \) is **not** Gaussian.

Two spatial integrations are needed:

- \( \sigma_{\text{loc}}^{1/2} \) in the numerator,
- \( \sigma_{\text{loc}}^{-3/2} \) in the denominator.
Recall Gatheral’s representation of implied volatility:

\[
\sigma_{\text{BS}}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t, f) h_t(f) \, df \, dt
\]

\[
h_t(f) = \frac{f^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t, f)p(t, f)}{\int_0^\infty f'^2 \partial_f^2 B^v(t', f')p(t', f')\, df'}
\]

We can replace \( p(t, f) \) by our estimate \( \hat{p}^{\text{LN}}(t, f) \) or \( \hat{p}^{\text{HKE}}(t, f) \) into the above formula to get an estimate \( \hat{h}_t(f) \) of \( h_t(f) \), and then get an estimate

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{\text{BS}}(T, K)^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t, f) \hat{h}_t(f) \, df \, dt
\]

However, \( \hat{h}_t(f) \) is not known explicitly: it depends on \( v \), and \( v \) is unknown: we are precisely looking for \( \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T v(t) \, dt = \sigma_{\text{BS}}(T, K)^2 \)

\( \Rightarrow \) Also replace \( v(t) \) by some \( \hat{v}(t) \) such that \( \hat{v}(0) = \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(0, f_0) \) and \( \hat{v}(T) = \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(T, K) \). For instance, one may pick \( \hat{v}(t) = \sigma_{\text{loc}}^2(t, \text{EP}(t)) \) for some convenient effective path.
We have picked a parametric local volatility \( f \mapsto \sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, f) \). To give the “effective path estimator” a chance to be competitive, we deliberately picked a smooth local volatility.

We have fitted the (time-dependent) parameters to the implied volatility surface of the Eurostoxx 50, as of November 28, 2007.

To compute the EP estimator, we have used the effective path
\[
\text{EP}(t) = f_0 \exp \left( \frac{t}{T} \ln \frac{K}{f_0} \right).
\]
For the lognormal estimator, we have used
\[
\sigma_{\text{LN}}(t) = \sigma_{\text{loc}}(t, \text{EP}(t)).
\]
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Computational complexity

- Complexity of PDE solver: $n_K \times n_T$.
  When one needs to compute a single implied volatility for a strike $K$ and a maturity $T$, one has to compute a whole set of $n_K \times n_T$ call prices.

- Complexity of new HKE estimator at order 1: $n_I \times n_L^3 \times n_H^2$ where
  - $n_I$: number of iterations in the fixed point algorithm (3 or 4)
  - $n_L$: number of operations needed to estimate integrals on finite intervals, e.g., the number of points in a Legendre integration
  - $n_H$: number of operations needed to estimate Gaussian integrals of the type $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(z) \exp(-z^2/2) dz$, e.g., the number of points in a Hermite integration.

- Complexity of new HKE estimator at order 0: $n_I \times n_L^2 \times n_H$. 
We have suggested new ways of computing implied volatilities from spot volatilities, based on the fact that the implied volatility is the fixed point of a function mapping a volatility $\sigma$ to a weighted quadratic average of the effective local volatility, with a weight $q_\sigma(t, f)$ depending on $\sigma$.

In previous works (Gatheral, Reghai), some heuristic $\hat{q}(t, f)$’s, independent of $\sigma$, have been suggested.

Here, our estimates $\hat{q}_\sigma(t, f)$ are explicitly built as small deviations from the true weight $q_\sigma(t, f)$, by replacing the exact pdf of the forward by an estimated pdf:

- a lognormal density, or
- the HKE of the exact pdf of the forward, at first order in the potential
The HKE estimator proves to be *remarkably accurate*, even for long maturities, while the accuracy of other estimators is not sufficient for practical trading purposes.

Computing the local volatility on an effective path only, such as in Gatheral, is too crude.

Averaging the local volatility using Gaussian fluctuations around an effective path, like in our LN estimator, is not enough.

**We need to average the local volatility using non-Gaussian fluctuations around an effective path** to get accurate results.

In terms of computational time:

Our new HKE estimator cannot beat a PDE solver, if one wants to compute a whole implied volatility surface.

However, if one needs to compute implied volatilities for only a few strikes and maturities, it is competitive. Dropping the order 1 in the HKE expansion makes our estimator slightly less accurate, but much faster.
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